



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2017

Collateral Policy - Central Banking's Powerful Secret Ingredient

Nyborg, Kjell G

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-149116>

Newspaper Article

Published Version

Originally published at:

Nyborg, Kjell G. Collateral Policy - Central Banking's Powerful Secret Ingredient. In: International Banker, 1 June 2017, 43-45.

[TOP POSTS](#)[<](#) [>](#)[WILL YOU BE A WINNER OR A LOSER...](#)

INTERNATIONAL Banker

AUTHORITATIVE ANALYSIS ON INTERNATIONAL

[HOME](#)[BANKING](#)[FINANCE](#)[BROKERAGE](#)[COMPANIES](#)[TECHNOLOGY](#)[LIFE](#)[Home](#) [>](#) [Banking](#) [>](#) [Collateral Policy—Central Banking's Powerful Secret Ingredient](#)[BANKING](#)

COLLATERAL POLICY—CENTRAL BANKING'S POWERFUL SECRET INGREDIENT

July 11, 2017



We use cookies to improve site performance and enhance your user experience. By continuing to use this site you consent to the use of cookies on your device in accordance with our [cc](#) [More Info](#) [Got it](#)



Modern economies run on the back of a monetary and financial system through which most transactions ultimately require central-bank money. As the ultimate issuers of money, central banks are imbued with substantial power to influence markets and the real economy. It is important to understand how they use this power and the associated implications for public attention and most academic work focuses on interest-rate policy, central banks also set policy in another area of influence that is more closely linked to the money-creation process, namely with respect to the collateral against which they issue money. It is a little appreciated or discussed fact that central-bank money is issued against collateral—paper assets such as government bonds, bank bonds and non-marketable credit claims. The details regarding the type of collateral that central banks accept and the terms of exchange between collateral and money are tucked away in policy documents under the technocratic-sounding label *collateral framework*. These frameworks constitute a largely ignored, almost hidden part of monetary policy that have far-reaching, but little understood, consequences. In this article, I outline some of the ways central banks use their power to influence markets and society as a whole through their collateral policies.

The recent growth in the size and influence of central banks underscores the importance of obtaining a deeper understanding of what they actually do. Over the period 2004 to 2015, the balance sheet of the US Federal Reserve grew fivefold, while that of the Eurosystem—the collective structure of national central banks in the euro area spearheaded by the European Central Bank (ECB)—grew threefold. In the euro area, the ECB has even been in the vanguard in the fight to save the European project itself. Mario Draghi has famously declared that the ECB will do “whatever it takes to preserve the euro.” Collateral policy has been central to this.

Collateral frameworks are typically viewed as serving a risk-management function. Just as banks require collateral to protect against losses in default when they lend to households and businesses, central banks require collateral when they lend (issue money) to banks. However, there is more to collateral policy than risk management. For example, the collateral framework created by a central bank's collateral framework may affect the production of financial assets and the underlying real economy. By way of an aphorism, if central-bank money is only available against igloos, or igloo-backed securities, the collateral framework that is biased in favor of housing will stimulate the production of housing and, if taken too far, could lead to the risk and severity of a property bubble.

To make the discussion more concrete, below I focus on the collateral framework, or policy, of the Eurosystem, with the eurozone as an especially interesting case because of the complexities that arise from having a single currency across multiple

We use cookies to improve site performance and enhance your user experience. By continuing to use this site you consent to the use of cookies on your device in accordance with our [cc](#) [More Info](#) [Got it](#)

problems. It is, therefore, critical to look more carefully at how the Eurosystem operates and the construction of

My approach to this has been to first study the official, legal documentations that describe the fine details of the Eurosystem's collateral framework and how it has developed over time. To clarify what these documents really mean and how the legal rules are implemented in practice, I have also collected a large number of empirical and practical facts that can best be described as forensic research. The evidence shows that the Eurosystem's collateral framework enforces market discipline and forces banks to be more disciplined. This is surprising given that market discipline is the third pillar of the Basel regulatory framework for banks, but is consistent with the view that collateral frameworks represent policy.

In the euro area, central-bank money has traditionally been injected into the banking system via repos, or repurchase agreements, and loans. The quantity of central-bank money a bank can obtain against a particular collateral is its Eurosystem collateral limit. This value is the collateral's "price", as determined within the collateral framework, minus a haircut, or margin, applied to the collateral value. Algebraically, collateral value equals (1-haircut) times "price". I say "price" because it should be a theoretical price determined by the central bank rather than a market price. Only a small portion of all securities are used on a regular basis.

The potential impact of collateral frameworks reaches beyond the idea illustrated by the "igloo aphorism" above. Collateral frameworks can affect the production of collateral and real assets. First, and more generally, asset prices may be affected. A collateral framework in which the central bank issues central-bank money may be favored in the market through higher prices, lower risk, and more trading depth. Second, haircuts may be set such that the impact of eligibility differs across asset classes and types of securities, possibly by design or even inadvertently. For example, to support some banks, the central bank may accept particularly illiquid collateral by giving these assets relatively low haircuts. While this may help channel liquidity where it is needed, it may also cause distortions in asset and money markets by affecting asset prices asymmetrically and undermining the role of market discipline.

Third, collateral frameworks can reduce banks' incentives to monitor debtors by accepting non-marketable collateral. Fourth, political influence on banking and financial systems may be facilitated by extending favorable terms to certain types of government guarantees. Fifth, haircut rules and guarantee policies can interact to increase market segmentation. Sixth, collateral frameworks can facilitate indirect bailouts, propping up poorly performing banks that should instead be allowed to fail optimally. Seventh, favoring illiquid and risky collateral may also weaken the balance sheet of the central bank and make the financial system more vulnerable to systemic shocks and crises.

The Eurosystem's collateral framework suffers, to varying degrees, from all of the above predicaments. There are over 40,000 different securities on the *public* list of eligible collateral, ranging from government bonds to unsecured corporate bonds and asset-backed securities. The vast majority of these do not have market prices, and approximately one-third are traded in non-regulated markets. There is also what we can call a *private* list of eligible collateral. This is comprised of securities

We use cookies to improve site performance and enhance your user experience. By continuing to use this site you consent to the use of cookies on your device in accordance with our [cc](#) [More Info](#) [Got it](#)

asset classes with a relatively high incidence of theoretical prices. Overall, the ECB's collateral policy is biased towards risky and illiquid collateral. The impairment of market forces is also evident by the fact that the ECB updates its collateral policy every three to four years. Instead of seeing the ECB using markets to set haircuts, one observes the reverse, where important segments of the repo markets adopt the stale haircuts set by the ECB.

The collateral policy pursued by the ECB can be described as accommodative. The set of eligible collateral is expanded and often tailored to the "needs" of banks in different countries. Collateral can, for example, be "upgraded" by government guarantees. Not only does an accommodative collateral policy impair market discipline, it is also an obstacle to market integration across Eurozone member states and has probably contributed to financial fragmentation in the repo area. A less accommodative collateral policy would force banks to engage more with each other and foster a more resilient market for liquidity.

An absence of market forces and discipline at the core of the monetary system creates a vacuum for other forces such as rating agencies and politics. Before the financial crisis, the Eurosystem's collateral framework accepted collateral from three agencies, Moody's, Fitch and Standard and Poor's (S&P). In 2009, a fourth agency, DBRS, was added. This is significant because the baseline rule is that only the highest rating matters, with the lowest haircut classes achieved for collateral rated A- or higher. Collateral rated below BBB- is ineligible. I document that DBRS was pivotal in giving Italy and Spain ratings of A- and Portugal a rating of BBB- over long stretches of time (all ratings on the S&P scale). This added around EUR 200-300 billion in increased Eurosystem collateral value to bonds issued by these countries and can be interpreted, therefore, as having helped facilitate indirect bailouts.

DBRS finally downgraded Italy to BBB+ (on the S&P scale) in January 2017, after substantial quantities of sovereign bonds had been purchased through the Eurosystem's quantitative-easing program. This "expanded asset purchase" reduces the importance of the haircuts in normal operations.

In December 2011 and February 2012, the ECB implemented one of its most significant unconventional monetary operations prior to the advent of quantitative easing. In two operations, it offered banks unlimited three-year funding against eligible collateral. In aggregate, banks took around one trillion euros. At the same time, to take advantage of this operation, the Italian government granted an unprecedented number of guarantees to low or non-rated Italian bank bonds to increase their Eurosystem collateral value. In addition, the ECB added around 10,000 unsecured bank bonds trading on national markets to the public list of eligible collateral, even as the value of eligible collateral vastly exceeded bank demand for central-bank money. The overall impression left by the evidence is that the ECB has used collateral to implement an indirect bailout of some euro area banks and sovereigns.

Collateral policy has been integral to the ECB's bid to stave off the crisis and, in Mario Draghi's words, "preserve the euro area". Over time, the policies of the ECB have spiralled ever outwards in terms of strength. However, because the

We use cookies to improve site performance and enhance your user experience. By continuing to use this site you consent to the use of cookies on your device in accordance with our [cc](#) [More Info](#) [Got it](#)

indiscriminate. They can also be said to contribute to market uncertainty, in part because it is not clear how peers are.

Central-bank collateral frameworks are the foundation of the monetary and financial system. They are an overlooked part of monetary policy that can affect asset prices, workings of financial markets, profitability of banks and welfare in the economy. A larger place for market forces and discipline within collateral frameworks should help make the financial system more stable and less prone to political pressure or mistakes by policymakers.

The importance of central-bank money in the economy provides central banks with substantial power. Like other sources of power, central banks should arguably be subject to scrutiny, checks and balances. This requires a deeper understanding of what they do and, especially, of the opaque structure at the heart of central banking and the money-creation process within central-bank collateral frameworks.

This article is based on the author's recent book Collateral Frameworks: The Open Architecture of Central Banks, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2017.

[BANKING](#)[CENTRAL BANKS](#)[COLLATERAL POLICY](#)[EUROPEAN CENTRAL BANK](#)[EUROPEAN UNION](#)[KJELL G](#)[SWISS FINANCE INSTITUTE](#)[0 comment](#)[0](#) [♥](#)[f](#) [t](#) [G+](#) [p](#)[previous post](#)

RETAIL-BANK CUSTOMERS “CRYING OUT” FOR
PERSONALISED ADVICE: UNDERSTANDING
PERFORMANCE AGAINST CUSTOMER
EXPECTATIONS (PACE)

REDRAWING THE LINES OF WHAT'S
POSSIBLE IN THE
IN]

RELATED ARTICLES



We use cookies to improve site performance and enhance your user experience. By continuing to use this site you consent to the use of cookies on your device in accordance with our [Privacy Policy](#). [More Info](#) [Got it](#)

IS THERE ANY REAL WAY TO
December 14, 2018



LEAVE A COMMENT

Your Comment

Name*

Email*

Website

SUBMIT

Copyright © International Banker 2018 All Rights Reserved | [About us](#) | [Contact us](#) | [Advertise with us](#)
[Finance Publishing](#) | [Forex Focus](#) | [Corporate Finance](#)

^
BACK TO TOP